

## Reporting Guideline for the manuscript:

Examining data sharing practices in Canadian Institutes of Health Research-funded publications: A descriptive study

### Use of Reporting Guideline

When beginning our study, we explored a variety of guidelines that were best suited for our methodology. The SQUIRE guideline most closely aligned with our approach, however we felt that because SQUIRE is directly related to quality improvement in healthcare rather than the data sharing practices of Canadian health sciences researchers and its implications on discovery, access, and reuse (a form of quality improvement), it was ultimately not suited as a formal guideline to use as the reporting structure for our study.

That said, we acknowledge that CMAJ Open requires the inclusion of a reporting guideline during the manuscript submission process. Because many of the reporting standards included in SQUIRE match the structure of our study, we have included the page numbers/sections of our manuscript using SQUIRE to demonstrate that we have sufficiently reported the key information needed to understand our study.

### SQUIRE 2.0 (Standards for Quality Improvement Reporting Excellence): revised publication guidelines from a detailed consensus process

Title and Abstract	Location in Manuscript
1. Title	Page 1
2. Abstract	Page 2
Introduction	<i>Why did you start?</i>
3. Problem Description	Page 3
4. Available knowledge	Page 3
5. Rationale	Page 3
6. Specific aims	Page 3
Methods	<i>What did you do?</i>
7. Context	Page 3
8. Intervention(s)	Page 4-5
9. Study of the Intervention(s)	Page 5-7
10. Measures	Page 5-7
11. Analysis	Page 5-7
12. Ethical Considerations	N/A
Results	<i>What did you find?</i>
13. Results	Page 7-16
Discussion	<i>What does it mean?</i>
14. Summary	Page 16-17
15. Interpretation	Page 17-18
16. Limitations	Page 18
17. Conclusions	Page 17-18
Other information	Data availability statement available on Page 18.
18. Funding	Page 18