

Outcomes in a nurse-led peripherally inserted central catheter program: a retrospective cohort study

Sheryl McDiarmid RN MBA, Nicholas Scrivens BSc, Marc Carrier MD, Elham Sabri MSc, Baldwin Toye MD, Lothar Huebsch MD, Dean Fergusson PhD

Abstract

Background: Peripherally inserted central catheters (PICCs) provide enormous benefit to patients. However, recent publications have highlighted relatively high PICC-associated complication rates. We report on patient and device outcomes from a nurse-led program.

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients undergoing PICC insertion at The Ottawa Hospital between Jan. 1, 2013 and Dec. 31, 2014. Of the 8314 BioFlo PASV PICCs inserted, we randomly selected a sample of 700 and obtained a complete data set for 656. We measured the cumulative incidence of major complications (catheter-related bloodstream infections and deep vein thrombosis) and use of a thrombolytic to alleviate occlusions.

Results: The total number of catheter days was 58 486, and the median dwell time 45 days. We observed 4 cases of catheter-related bloodstream infection (0.6% [95% CI 0.17%-1.55%]) (0.07/1000 catheter days). Ten patients (1.5% [95% CI 0.83%-2.78%]) (0.17/1000 catheter days) had catheter-related deep venous thrombosis. At least 1 dose of thrombolytic was required in 75 catheters (11.4% [95% CI 8.61%-13.39]), 31 (7.1%) of the 436 single-lumen catheters and 113 (25.7%) of the 440 lumina of dual-lumen catheters (p < 0.001).

Interpretation: We attribute our low rates of major complications to a nurse-led expert insertion team, standardized care and maintenance protocols, high insertion volumes, novel catheter material and continuous quality-improvement initiatives that are implemented and evaluated regularly. We conclude that the considerable benefits PICCs provide to patients are attained with a low risk of major complications.

eripherally inserted central catheters (PICCs) have transformed the care of patients across a wide variety of clinical settings, from tertiary to ambulatory, by providing a safe and reliable alternative to short-term centrally placed venous catheters. PICCs, placed with ultrasound guidance into upper arm veins, have a high successful insertion rate and a low insertion-related complication rate, are cost effective and can be used for a wide variety of infusion therapies.^{1,2} Although prescribed primarily by physicians, the prevailing practice model involves insertion of PICCs by a team comprising specially trained registered nurses supported by a compendium of infusion therapy standards of practice.3 The ongoing care and maintenance of PICCs has always been the responsibility of the registered nurse. Previous studies have shown that the rate of complications is correlated with the knowledge and expertise of care providers throughout the dwell time of the catheter.^{4,5}

Although PICCs provide obvious benefits to patients, recent publications have raised safety concerns.^{3,6,7} Systematic

reviews and observational studies have suggested that PICCs may expose patients to an unacceptably high risk of venous thromboembolism and catheter-related bloodstream infections, causing angst among clinicians who prescribe PICCs and those responsible for their insertion and subsequent care and maintenance. We performed a retrospective study to determine the risk of PICC-related complications in a nurse-led vascular access care program.

Competing interests: AngioDynamics partly funded this study through a research agreement administered by the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. Sheryl McDiarmid has given invited lectures for AngioDynamics, Bard and Teleflex–Arrow. No other competing interests were declared.

This article has been peer reviewed.

Correspondence to: Sheryl McDiarmid, smcdiarmid@toh.on.ca

CMAJ Open 2017. DOI:10.9778/cmajo.20170010

© 2017 Joule Inc. or its licensors CMAJ OPEN, 5(3) E535

Methods

Design and setting

We conducted a retrospective analysis of a prospective cohort study that included consecutive patients undergoing a PICC insertion at The Ottawa Hospital between Jan. 1, 2013 and Dec. 31, 2014. The Ottawa Hospital is one of North America's largest academic health sciences centres and has about 1122 inpatient beds, roughly 50 000 admissions annually and over 1.5 million outpatient visits annually. This includes the University of Ottawa Heart Institute and The Ottawa Hospital Regional Cancer and Rehabilitation programs. The Central Vascular Access Program is led by an advanced practice nurse who is accountable for oversight of the insertion, care and maintenance of all nondialysis central venous catheters in both inpatient and outpatient populations. As part of the program, data on all PICC insertions are collected prospectively.

All PICCs were placed by experienced registered nurses, each performing 400–500 PICC insertions annually. The Safer Healthcare Now! central line insertion bundle, ¹⁰ which consists of hand hygiene, barrier precautions and chlorhexidine skin antisepsis, was adhered to. Optimal catheter size (single- or dual-lumen) was determined by adequate vein diameter. Care and maintenance protocols based on the Infusion Nurses Society Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice¹¹ were developed in conjunction with clinical nurse educators from The Ottawa Hospital and community providers. The Ottawa Hospital vascular access team is responsible for instilling a thrombolytic to treat occluded PICC lumens. No thrombolytics were administered in the community.

All catheters inserted were 4 French single-lumen or 5 French double-lumen BioFlo PASV PICCs (AngioDynamics, Inc).¹² Ultrasound technology was used for all catheter insertions. Catheter tip placement was confirmed by routine chest radiography.

All PICCs were secured with a standard Tegaderm I.V. Advanced Securement Dressing (3M). No antimicrobial device was placed at the site at insertion or throughout the catheter dwell time. Three needleless connector devices were used during the study period: the One-Link or Interlink (Baxter Healthcare Corporation) for inpatients, and the MaxPlus (CareFusion, now a Becton, Dickinson and Company company) for community care providers. Catheter occlusions that could not be alleviated were treated with a tissue plasminogen activator, Cathflo (Roche), as per a predefined protocol. All catheters were routinely flushed with 10 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (PosiFlush XS prefilled syringe [BD]). No heparin products were used to flush or lock the PICC.

Patients were followed from PICC insertion until removal.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes of the study were catheter-related bloodstream infection and symptomatic catheter-related deep venous thrombosis. We defined catheter-related bloodstream infection as the presence of bacteremia originating from the PICC according to the definition established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, which includes a posi-

tive blood culture result from the catheter and/or from a peripheral vein together with clear evidence that the catheter was the source; in addition, the patient had to manifest clinical symptoms of an infection. We defined catheter-related deep venous thrombosis as an occlusive or nonocclusive filling defect in the deep veins (brachial, axillary or subclavian) on the ipsilateral side proximal to the PICC insertion site detected on ultrasonography or venography. Testing was performed only if patients presented with clinical symptoms. Secondary outcome measures included superficial vein thrombosis (defined as a filling defect within the cephalic, basilic, median cubital or accessory cephalic vein on ultrasonography or venography) and catheter occlusion (defined as an obstruction of the catheter lumen that prevents or limits the ability to flush, withdraw blood, and/or administer solutions or medications). The thrombolytic dosage was captured per lumen, not per device. All outcome events were adjudicated by 2 physicians (B.T. and M.C.).

Sample size and statistical analysis

Of the 8314 PICCs inserted during the prospective study period, we selected a random sample of 700 using the random-number generator function of Microsoft Excel. Sample size was derived to ensure precise confidence intervals (CIs) around an expected event rate of our primary outcome (catheter-related bloodstream infection or deep venous thrombosis). A sample size of 700 produces a two-sided 95% CI with a width equal to 3%. The data were supplemented and verified with the electronic medical record of the 700 patients.

We calculated a descriptive summary of the baseline characteristics along with proportions and 95% CIs for all primary and secondary outcomes using the Wilson method without continuity correction. All statistical calculations were conducted with the use of StatsDirect version 2.8.0 (StatsDirect Ltd.).

Ethics approval

This study was approved by The Ottawa Hospital Research Ethics Board.

Results

Of the 700 patients, 44 were excluded because the PICC removal date was not available (n = 7) or the patient was transferred to another facility (n = 37). Thus, the data for 656 patients were analyzed. Baseline characteristics of the study population, ordering service and reason for insertion are given in Table 1. Table 2 describes catheterization characteristics. The cumulative dwell time was 58 486 (median 45) catheter days (range 1–842 catheter days).

We observed 4 cases of catheter-related bloodstream infection (0.6% [95% CI 0.17%–1.55%]) (0.07/1000 catheter days). The organisms cultured were *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus*, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* and, in 1 case, both *S. aureus* and group B *Streptococcus*. The time to infection after PICC insertion was 14–112 days. Three infections occurred in patients with hematological malignant disorders

and 1 in a known intravenous drug user. All infections occurred in the inpatient setting.

Ten patients (1.5% [95% CI 0.83%–2.78%]) (0.17/1000 catheter days) had catheter-related deep venous thrombosis, of the upper extremity in all cases, during the follow-up period (Table 3). An additional 4 patients had superficial vein thrombosis, for a total of 14 patients (2.1%, 95% CI 1.27%–3.55%) with thrombotic complications. Incidental findings of pulmonary embolism with negative findings on lower- and upper-extremity ultrasonography were detected in 2 additional patients.

Both catheter-related bloodstream infections (3/4 [75%]) and catheter-related deep venous thrombosis (3/10 [30%]) were more common in patients with hematological malignant disorders than in patients with other disorders. The incidence of deep venous thrombosis in this subgroup was 0.4/1000 catheter days, compared to 0.17/1000 catheter days in the overall cohort.

A thrombolytic was required in 75 cases (11.4% [95% CI 8.61%-13.39%]). Of the 144 doses given, 31 (21.5%) were administered into single-lumen catheters (n = 436), and 113 (78.5%) were administered into a lumen of a double-lumen catheter (n = 220). When analyzed by lumen, 31 (7.1%) of the 436 single-lumen catheters required a thrombolytic, compared to 113 (25.7%) of the 440 lumina of the double-lumen catheters (p < 0.001) (Table 4).

Interpretation

Note: SD = standard deviation. *Except where noted otherwise.

We found low rates of catheter-related bloodstream infection, catheter-related deep venous thrombosis and catheter occlusion necessitating a thrombolytic when PICC insertion and

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 656 patients with

peripherally inserted central catheters at The Ottawa Hospital Characteristic No. (%) of patients* Age, mean ± SD (range), yr 62 ± 15 (17-97) Female sex 329 (50.2) Ordering service Medicine 217 (33.1) Oncology 186 (28.4) Surgery 128 (19.5) Malignant hematology 63 (9.6) Cardiology 39 (5.9) Intensive care unit 23 (3.5) Reason for insertion **Antibiotics** 341 (52) Chemotherapy 229 (35) Parenteral nutrition 37 (6) Other 49 (7)

subsequent care and maintenance were performed by an expert nursing team. These findings are reassuring given the widespread use of PICCs.

Table 2: Catheterization characteristic	cs
Characteristic	No. (%) of patients* n = 656
Right-sided insertion	551 (84.0)
Vein cannulated	
Basilic	512 (78.0)
Brachial	131 (20.0)
Cephalic	13 (2.0)
Catheter type	
Double-lumen	220 (33.5)
Single-lumen	436 (66.5)
No. of insertion attempts	
1	603 (91.9)
2	53 (8.1)
Catheter tip location	
Cavoatrial junction/distal superior vena cava	590 (89.9)
Mid superior vena cava	66 (10.1)
Cumulative dwell time (median) (range), catheter d	58 486 (45) (1–842)
*Except where noted otherwise.	

Characteristic	No. of patients*
Male sex	6
Time to thrombosis, median (range), d	30 (4–259)
Site	
Subclavian vein	6
Axillary vein	3
Brachial vein	1
Patient diagnosis	
Hematological malignant disorder	3
Lung cancer	2
Breast cancer	1
Colorectal cancer	1
Infection	3
Outcome	
Complete resolution after first follow-up	7
Extension of thrombosis	2
Residual nonocclusive thrombosis	1

Table 4: Thrombolytic use in 75 occluded catheters	
Variable	No. (%) of catheters* $n = 656$
No. of doses	
1	38 (5.8)
2	20 (3.0)
3	7 (1.1)
≥ 4	10 (1.5)
Type of catheter	
Single-lumen ($n = 436$)	31 lumina (7.1)
Double-lumen (n = 220 = 440 lumina)	113 lumina (25.7)†
*Except where noted otherwise. $\uparrow p < 0.001$.	

Our low rate of catheter-related bloodstream infection is consistent with previous reports. Maki and colleagues¹⁴ conducted a systematic review of 200 prospective studies that combined inpatients and outpatients; they reported a rate of infectious complications with various types of vascular access devices of 1.1/1000 catheter days. In contrast, Chopra and colleagues¹⁵ carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis of the risk of bloodstream infections associated with PICCs as compared to central venous catheters and reported a rate of 5.2% (76/1473) for inpatients and 0.45% (117/25 822) for outpatients. In a study of similar design to ours, Bouzad and colleagues16 reported an incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infections of 27 episodes, or 1.43/1000 catheter days, in a sample of 923 PICC placements; in the subcohort of patients with hematological disease, the overall rate of infectious complications was 3.13/1000 catheter days.

An important difference between our study and published evidence is the inclusion of patients as they transitioned from the acute care setting to the community. The median dwell time in our cohort was 45 catheter days. Although evidence supports that longer dwell times are associated with higher rates of catheter-related bloodstream infection,¹⁷ we did not observe this in our study. McLaws and Berry¹⁷ found overall incidence rates of catheter-related bloodstream infection with central venous catheters of 2.1, 4.5 and 10.2 cases/1000 catheter days during dwell intervals of 1–5 days, 6–15 days and 16–30 days, respectively.

In our study, 3 of the 4 (0.4/1000 catheter days) catheter-related bloodstream infections occurred in patients with hematological malignant disorders, a population known to be at higher risk for this complication. This rate is considerably lower than that in previous reports. A literature review by Chopra and colleagues³ showed higher rates of PICC-related bloodstream infections among adult patients with cancer than among those without cancer (1.1/1000 catheter days v. 1.8–7.7/1000 catheter days). Morano and colleagues¹⁸ reported on 612 patients with hematological disease with primarily (86.8% of patients) 4 French single-lumen distally valved silicone

PICCs (Groshong [Bard Peripheral Vascular]); the incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infection was 7.7%, or 0.59/1000 catheter days, and the mean dwell time was 101 days.

The rate of symptomatic deep venous thrombosis in our cohort was lower than that reported in the literature. In a recent meta-analysis, a subgroup analysis including inpatients and outpatients (8 studies, n = 9462) gave a weighted frequency of PICC-related deep venous thrombosis of 3.4% (95% CI 1.7%–5.19%) and an unweighted frequency of 3.0% (281/9462).⁷ The unweighted frequency of PICC-related deep venous thrombosis was 10.5% among patients in the intensive care unit (8 studies, n = 1219).⁷

In our study, catheter-related deep venous thrombosis was more prevalent in patients with hematological malignant disorders than in patients with other disorders. Although Chopra and colleagues³ reported an incidence of catheter-related thrombosis of 2%-5.5% among patients with diseases other than cancer, the incidence among those with cancer was 3.4%-7.8%. In a previous study, we reported an incidence of catheter-related deep venous thrombosis of 5.6% (95% CI 3.5%-8.6%) in 340 patients with cancer (4 French singlelumen or 5 French double-lumen Groshong catheter).¹⁹ In a retrospective study of 899 PICCs placed in patients with hematological malignant disorders, Tran and colleagues²⁰ reported a rate of symptomatic catheter-related deep venous thrombosis of 7.8%. Although the catheter type was not available in 36% of cases, the prevalence of the complication varied by catheter type, from a low of 5.8% to a high of 11.8%, which suggests that the catheter material may contribute to thrombosis. It is possible that the combination of preinsertion assessment of the patient and the vein by skilled registered nurses, a high rate of success on first insertion attempt and insertion of a maximum 5 French double-lumen PICC composed of a material that has been shown in in vitro testing to reduce thrombus accumulation¹² contributed to our low rates of catheter-related deep venous thrombosis.

Catheter occlusion is a common and costly complication that diminishes the functionality of the PICC and interferes with timely administration of treatments. Rates of catheter occlusion of 14%–36% have been reported. In our study, 11% of PICC lumina required instillation of a thrombolytic. Strategies to prevent catheter occlusion — primarily adequate flushing protocols and catheter-appropriate needleless connectors — have been incorporated into the care and maintenance policies and procedures for The Ottawa Hospital and community care providers.

Limitations and strengths

Our study had a retrospective, single-centre, single-arm design, which limits the generalizability of the findings. The diagnosis of catheter-related bloodstream infection is often controversial, and infectious disease specialists disagree about the categorization of these infections.²³ We attempted to minimize bias with adjudication of events. Furthermore, although a multifaceted approach to reducing complications, including infection prevention practices, a dedicated team of expert registered nurses providing continuity of catheter care, and use of ultrasonography



and novel catheter material, may have led to a reduced rate of catheter-associated complications, we are uncertain what role each of these components played in the overall outcome. The study did not have a control group, and therefore comparisons were not possible. Although we have attempted to compare complication rates relative to our study design, settings and methods, no published study to date encompasses a program structure comparable to that at The Ottawa Hospital.

Our study also has important strengths, including the large number of catheter insertions, with prolonged dwell time. Furthermore, both inpatients and outpatients were included, and care protocols were standardized across care settings. Although our study was retrospective, the data collection was done concurrently as events occurred. In addition, inclusion of inpatients and outpatients from different services, including medical, surgical, critical care and hematology/oncology, makes the data set more generalizable to the real-world setting.

Conclusion

We report a composite of rates of serious PICC-related complications that are lower than those previously reported. We attribute our low rates to an experienced nurse-led team, high insertion volumes, standardized protocols for care and maintenance, novel catheter material and continuous quality-improvement initiatives that are implemented and evaluated regularly. We conclude that the considerable benefits PICCs provide to patients are attained with a low risk of major complications.

References

- 1. Moureau N. Vascular safety: it's all about PICCs. Nurs Manage 2006;37:22-7.
- Ng PK, Ault MJ, Ellrodt AG, et al. Peripherally inserted central catheters in general medicine. Mayo Clin Proc 1997;72:225-33.
- Chopra V, Anand S, Krein SL, et al. Bloodstream infection, venous thrombosis, and peripherally inserted central catheters: reappraising the evidence. Am J Med 2012;125:733-41.
- Guerin K, Wagner J, Reins K, et al. Reduction in central line-associated bloodstream infections by implementation of a postinsertion care bundle. Am J Infect Control 2010;38:430-3.
- Timsit JF, Bouadma L, Ruckly S, et al. Dressing disruption is a major risk factor for catheter-related infections. Crit Care Med 2012;40:1707-14.
- Chopra V, Ratz D, Kuhn L, et al. Peripherally inserted central catheter-related deep vein thrombosis: contemporary patterns and predictors. J Thromb Haemost 2014;12:847-54.
- Chopra V, Anand S, Hickner A, et al. Risk of venous thromboembolism associated with peripherally inserted central catheters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2013;382:311-25.
- The Ottawa Hospital annual report 2015–2016. Fast facts. Ottawa: The Ottawa Hospital; 2016. Available: https://www.ottawahospital.on.ca/annualreport/fast-facts_en.html (accessed 2017 June 21).
- McDiarmid S. Data: the real d in decision making. J Can Vasc Access Assoc 2012; 6(2):21-5.
- Prevent central line infections: getting started kit. Ottawa: Safer Healthcare Now!, Canadian Patient Safety Institute; 2012. Available: www.patientsafetyinstitute.ca/en/toolsResources/Documents/Interventions/Central%20Line-Associated%20 Bloodstream%20Infection/CLI%20Getting%20Started%20Kit.pdf (accessed 2017 June 21).

- Infusion Nurses Society. Infusion therapy standards of practice. J Infus Nurs 2016;39:S1-114.
- BioFlo PICC: making a material difference. Latham (NY): AngioDynamics. Available: www.angiodynamics.com/products/bioflopicc (accessed 2016 July 17).
- O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Clin Infect Disease 2011;52:e162-93.
- Maki DG, Kluger DM, Crnich CJ. The risk of bloodstream infection in adults with different intravascular devices: a systematic review of 200 published prospective studies. Mayo Clin Proc 2006;81:1159-71.
- Chopra V, O'Horo J, Rogers A, et al. The risk of bloodstream infection associated with peripherally inserted central catheters compared with central venous catheters in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:908-18.
- Bouzad C, Duron S, Bousquet A, et al. Peripherally inserted central catheterrelated infections in a cohort of hospitalized adult patients. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2016;39:385-93.
- McLaws ML, Berry G. Nonuniform risk of bloodstream infection with increasing central venous catheter-days. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005;26: 715-9.
- Morano SG, Latagliata R, Girmenia C, et al. Catheter-associated bloodstream infections and thrombotic risk in hematologic patients with peripherally inserted central catheters (PICC). Support Care Cancer 2015;23:3289-95.
- Aw A, Carrier M, Koczerginski J, et al. Incidence and predictive factors of symptomatic thrombosis related to peripherally inserted central catheters in chemotherapy patients. *Thromb Res* 2012;130:323-6.
- Tran H, Arellano M, Chamsuddin A, et al. Deep venous thrombosis in patient
 with hematological malignancies after peripherally inserted central venous
 catheters. Leuk Lymphoma 2010;51:1473-7.
- Baskin JL, Pui CH, Reiss U, et al. Management of occlusion and thrombosis associated with long-term indwelling central venous catheters. *Lancet* 2009;374: 159-69.
- The occlusion management guideline. Hamilton (ON): Canadian Vascular Access Association; 2013.
- Beekmann SE, Diekema DJ, Huskins C, et al. Diagnosing and reporting of central line-associated bloodstream infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33:875-82.

Affiliations: The Ottawa Hospital (McDiarmid), Ottawa Hospital Research Institute (McDiarmid, Scrivens, Sabri); Department of Medicine (Carrier), Ottawa Hospital Research Institute at the University of Ottawa; Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (Toye), University of Ottawa, The Ottawa Hospital; Department of Medicine (Huebsch), University of Ottawa, The Ottawa Hospital; Clinical Epidemiology Program (Fergusson), Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; Department of Medicine (Fergusson), University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ont.

Contributors: Sheryl McDiarmid conceived and designed the study, provided oversight for data collection and analysis, and was the primary author of the manuscript. Nicholas Scrivens collated the data, and Elham Sabri analyzed the data. Lothar Huebsch assisted with data analysis and manuscript preparation. Dean Fergusson assisted with data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript preparation. Marc Carrier and Baldwin Toye adjudicated outcome events and assisted with manuscript preparation. All of the authors approved the final version to be published and agreed to act as guarantors of the work.

Funding: This study was partly funded by AngioDynamics through a research agreement administered by the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute.

Acknowledgement: The authors thank the Vascular Access registered nursing team at The Ottawa Hospital.

Supplemental information: For reviewer comments and the original submission of this manuscript, please see www.cmajopen.ca/content/5/3/E535/suppl/DC1